UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/594,942	09/28/2006	Paul Omdoll	1414.093	5667	
	7590 04/16/200 RICKSON S.C.	EXAMINER			
840 North Planl	kinton Avenue	RAHIM, AZIM			
MILWAUKEE	, W1 53203		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3744		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			04/16/2009	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

docketing@boylefred.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/594,942	OMDOLL ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
AZIM RAHIM	3744	

	AZIM RAHIM	3744	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appea	ers on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 26 March 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on tapplication, applicant must timely file one of the following reapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appear for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CF periods:	he same day as filing a Notice of a eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
 a)	visory Action, or (2) the date set forth er than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejection	n.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f) Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date o have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exteunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the sh set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later that may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	n which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 ension and the corresponding amount fortened statutory period for reply origi	36(a) and the appropriate of the fee. The appropriate nally set in the final Office	e extension fee ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compli filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extensions of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wit AMENDMENTS 	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, by (a) They raise new issues that would require further constitutions (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better	sideration and/or search (see NO¯ /);	ΓE below);	
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a constant NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. ☐ The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12			PTOL-324)
 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowon-allowable claim(s). 			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proving the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		l be entered and an ex	xplanation of
 AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to ov showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	ercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fails see 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	s to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	of the status of the claims after en	ntry is below or attach	ed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but In response to the applicant's arguments directed to claim removed from the hollow interior of the body when the corperforming this intended use function depending if a user depending on the amount of liquid present inside the bott cover 36, and the straw does not extend past the cover. would have to rotate the bottle so that the liquid flows tow	n 1 pertaining to the limitation of "ver is disengaged from the mouth, withdraws a liquid from the bottle, le. As illustrated in figure 1, straw Therefore, if a user attempts to will ard the straw. In conclusion, for a	vherein the liquid may "Vinarsky's sports bo how the bottle is rota 27 is shown only to b thdraw liquid from the	only be ttle is capable of ted and the attached to bottle, the user
respectfully submits that the arguments are not persuasiv			
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (F13. ☐ Other:	10/30/00) Papel NO(S)		

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

/Frantz F Jules/ Supervisory Patent Examiner /Azim Rahim/ Examiner, Art Unit 3744 Application No.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 20090331